REMARKS

In the Office Action of March 28, 2005, the Examiner rejected Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 10, 14, 17 and 20 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the patent to Kraan. The Examiner indicated that Claims 3-5, 8, 9, 11-13, 15, 16, 18 and 19 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Applicant has amended Claim 1 by combining this Claim with the substance of Claim 3. Applicant has amended Claim 10 by combining this Claim with Claim 11. Finally, Applicant has amended Claim 17 by combining this Claim with Claim 18. Applicant has also cancelled Claims 3, 11 and 18. It is respectfully submitted that as amended independent Claims 1, 10 and 17 have been combined with previously indicated allowable subject matter, all of the Claims now remaining in the application are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, the allowance and passage of the application to issue are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

James A. Tebo, Jr.

By: 

Barry E. Deutsch, Esq.
Reg. No. 25,328
Tel.: (203) 741-0718